

CH5 QUANTUM GAUGE FIELDS

5.1 Gauge invariance

- In QFT, the propagator encodes the dynamics of the fields and their interactions. However, for gauge fields, gauge invariance imposes constraints on the EOM, leading to the non-invertibility of the quadratic kernel.

Recall: quadratic kernel D , ex: $L_0 = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 = \frac{1}{2}\phi D\phi$

so that $D = -\partial^2 - m^2$, or $D(p) = p^2 + m^2$

⊙ Exercise: multiple scalar fields:

- Consider $S_0 \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \int d^n x \left(\partial_\mu \phi^i \partial^\mu \phi_i + m^2 \phi^i \phi_i \right) + i\epsilon$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \int d^n x \int d^n x' \phi^i(x) \underbrace{\delta_{ij} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x'^\mu} \delta(x-x') + m^2 - i\epsilon \right)}_{\equiv D_{ij}(x, x')} \phi^j(x')$$

- The propagator is defined as $\Delta^{ij}(x, x') \equiv (D^{-1})^{ij}(x, x')$ so that $\int d^n x' D_{ij}(x, x') \Delta^{jk}(x', x'') = \delta_i^k \delta^n(x - x'')$

↳ Since $\delta^n(x-x') = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int d^n p e^{ip(x-x')}$, one has

$$D_{ij}(x, x') = \delta_{ij} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int d^n p e^{ip(x-x')} (p^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon)$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{D}_{ij}(p) = \delta_{ij} (p^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon) \Leftrightarrow \tilde{\Delta}^{ij}(p) = \delta^{ij} \frac{1}{p^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta^{ij}(x-x') = \delta^{ij} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int d^n p \frac{1}{p^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon} e^{ip(x-x')}$$

- Scalar theories do not possess any gauge freedom, the field ϕ is unconstrained and all the field dof $\Leftrightarrow$ physical dof.

- The quadratic kernel D is fully invertible because there are no "null directions" in the functional space of the scalar fields.

o Exercise: massive vector field:

→ Consider $L_0 = -\frac{1}{4} (\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu) (\partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu) - \frac{1}{2} m^2 A^\mu A_\mu + i\epsilon$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu A_\nu \partial^\mu A^\nu + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu A_\nu \partial^\nu A^\mu - \frac{1}{2} m^2 A^\mu A_\mu + i\epsilon$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x d^4y A^\rho(x) D_{\rho\sigma}(x,y) A^\sigma(y)$$

with $D_{\rho\sigma}(x,y) = \left(\eta_{\rho\sigma} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\mu \partial y_\mu} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\sigma \partial x^\rho} + m^2 \eta_{\rho\sigma} \right) \delta^n(x-y) - i\epsilon$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int d^n p e^{ip(x-y)} \underbrace{\left(\eta_{\rho\sigma} (p^2 + m^2) - p_\rho p_\sigma - i\epsilon \right)}_{\tilde{D}_{\rho\sigma}}$$

→ The propagator is then given by:

$$\Delta^{\rho\sigma}(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int d^n p e^{ip(x-y)} \left(\frac{\eta^{\rho\sigma} + p^\rho p^\sigma / m^2}{p^2 + m^2} \right)$$

Indeed, $\left(\eta_{\rho\sigma} (p^2 + m^2) - p_\rho p_\sigma \right) \left(\frac{\eta^{\sigma\tau} + p^\sigma p^\tau / m^2}{p^2 + m^2} \right)$

$$= (p^2 + m^2)^{-1} \left(\delta_\rho^\tau (p^2 + m^2) - p_\rho p^\tau + p_\rho p^\tau (p^2 + m^2) / m^2 - p^2 p_\rho p^\tau / m^2 \right) = \delta_\rho^\tau$$

→ Notice that $\lim_{m \rightarrow 0} D_{\rho\sigma}$ is not invertible: $\tilde{D}_{\rho\sigma}(p) = \eta_{\rho\sigma} p^2 - p_\rho p_\sigma$

Since it's a $n \times n$ symmetric matrix, $\exists$ at least one p^σ an eigenvector of vanishing eigenvalue: $\tilde{D}_{\rho\sigma} p^\sigma = 0$

→ The quadratic part is non-invertible because of the gauge invariance. Indeed, it is equivalent to perform:

$$\int d^4y D_\rho^\sigma(x-y) A_\sigma^g(y) = 0$$

where $A_\sigma^g(y) \equiv \partial_\sigma \epsilon(y)$ (with $A_\mu \mapsto A_\mu + \partial_\mu \epsilon$ the gauge freedom)

A pure gauge field: $A_\sigma = A_\sigma^{\text{phys}} + A_\sigma^g = A_\sigma^{\text{phys}} + \partial_\sigma \epsilon = \partial_\sigma \epsilon$

annihilates the quadratic part; the pure gauge modes lie in the null space of the propagator due to gauge invariance.

5.2 Invariance BRST

- Consider a gauge invariant action $S^{\text{inv}}[A, y^i] = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}^{\text{inv}}[A, y^i]$ where $y^i \equiv (\phi, \xi, \chi)$ are the matter fields: scalar, Weyl fermions and Dirac fermions.

⊙ Yang-Mills theory:

DEF The Yang-Mills lagrangian $\mathcal{L}^{\text{YM}}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{YM}} \equiv \frac{-1}{4g^2} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{\mu\nu b} g_{ab} \quad (\text{with } f^d_{ac} g_{db} + f^d_{bc} g_{da} = 0)$$

where g_{ab} is the Killing metric of the group, $g_{ab} \propto \text{Tr}(ad_a \circ ad_b)$ and where $F_{\mu\nu}^a \equiv \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + f^a_{bc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c$
 f^a_{bc} are the structure constants of the gauge group $[T_a, T_b] = i f^c_{ab} T_c$

- Consider $\mathcal{L}^{\text{inv}}[A, y^i] = \mathcal{L}^{\text{YM}} + \mathcal{L}_M[y^i, D y^i]$, with the following infinitesimal gauge transformations:

$$\delta_\epsilon S^{\text{inv}} = 0 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \delta_\epsilon A_\mu^a = D_\mu \epsilon^a = \partial_\mu \epsilon^a + g f^a_{bc} A_\mu^b \epsilon^c \\ \delta_\epsilon y^i = -\epsilon^a (T_a)^i_j y^j \end{cases}$$

where $\epsilon^a(x)$ is an arbitrary field.

- If we rescale the gauge field $A_\mu^a \mapsto g A_\mu^a$, the structure constants $f^{abc} \mapsto g f^{abc}$ and the generator of the gauge group: $T_a^i \mapsto g T_a^i$, we get the canonical lagrangian normalization

⊙ Chern-Simons theory:

DEF The Chern-Simons lagrangian $\mathcal{L}^{\text{CS}}$ in 3D is defined as:

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{CS}} = \frac{k}{8\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} g_{ab} A_\mu^a \left(\partial_\nu A_\rho^b + \frac{1}{3} f^b_{cd} A_\nu^c A_\rho^d \right)$$

where k is the Chern-Simons level. It defines a topological field theory in 3-D of spacetime.

DEF The gauge field connection A is defined as

$$A = A_\mu^a dx^\mu T_a$$

→ The gauge field is a Lie algebra-valued 1-form

The inner product on the Lie algebra is

$$\langle T_a, T_b \rangle = g_{ab}$$

The exterior derivative d of a 1-form is given by

$$dA = \partial_\mu A_\nu dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \quad \text{where } A_\mu = A_\mu^a T_a$$

The graded commutator $[A, A]$ is defined as

$$[A, A] = f^{ab}{}^c A^a \wedge A^b T_c$$

→ Notice that $F = dA + \frac{1}{2}[A, A]$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} dA + \frac{1}{2}[A, A] &= \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu) dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} f^{ab}{}^c A^a \wedge A^b T_c \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu) dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu T_a + \frac{1}{2} f^{ab}{}^c A_\mu^a A_\nu^b dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu T_c \\ &= \frac{1}{2}((\partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a) T_a + f^{ab}{}^c A_\mu^a A_\nu^b T_c) dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \\ &\text{so that } F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + f^{ab}{}^c A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \end{aligned}$$

→ Notice that $\langle A, dA \rangle = \langle A_\sigma^a dx^\sigma T_a, \partial_\mu A_\nu^b dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu T_b \rangle$
 $= A_\sigma^a \partial_\mu A_\nu^b dx^\sigma \wedge dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \langle T_a, T_b \rangle = g_{ab} A^a \wedge dA^b$

PROP The Chern-Simons action can be written as

$$S^{CS} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int \langle A, dA \rangle + \frac{g}{3} \langle A^3 \rangle = \frac{k}{8\pi} \int \langle A, dA + \frac{g}{3} A^2 \rangle$$

DEMO $d^3x L^{CS} = \frac{k}{8\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \left(g_{ab} A_\mu^a \partial_\nu A_\rho^b + \frac{1}{3} g_{ab} f^{bcd} A_\mu^a A_\nu^b A_\rho^c \right) d^3x$
 $= 2 \langle A, dA \rangle$

Now, $\langle A^3 \rangle = \langle A \wedge A \wedge A \rangle = \langle A_\mu^a A_\nu^b A_\rho^c dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \wedge dx^\rho T_a T_b T_c \rangle$
and $T_a T_b T_c = \frac{1}{3!} f^{abc} T_c$ so that $\langle A^3 \rangle = g_{ab} f^{abc} A^a \wedge A^b \wedge A^c$

→ One can normalize $A_\mu^a \mapsto g A_\mu^a, f^{abc} \mapsto g f^{abc}$ so that the quadratic part of L^{CS} becomes $L_{quad}^{CS} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} A_\mu^a \partial_\nu A_\alpha^b g_{ab}$

→ The gauge transformation $\delta_\epsilon A_\mu^a = \partial_\mu \epsilon^a$ leaves L_{quad}^{CS} invariant up to a total derivative term → topological.

⊙ Ghosts:

→ We replace the gauge parameter $\epsilon^a(x)$ with a ghost field $C^a(x)$
 $\epsilon^a(x) \rightarrow C^a(x)$

→ These fields are fermionic (anticommuting) scalars hence they violate the spin-statistics theorem. It's ok since they're not irrep of the Poincaré group.

→ The fields in the theory are now:

$$\phi^A \equiv (A_\mu^a, \psi^i, C^a, \bar{C}^a, B^a) \quad \text{Fields}$$

$$\phi^{*A} \equiv (A_\mu^{*a}, \psi_i^*, C_a^*, \bar{C}_a^*, B_a^*) \quad \text{conjugate fields (antifield)}$$

with B^a a auxiliary bosonic field.

DEF We introduce the parity p that indicates if a field is commuting ($p=0$) or anticommuting ($p=1$), and the ghost number gh that indicates if the field is a ghost ($gh=1$), an antighost ($gh=-1$) or neither ($gh=0$)

For the antifields, we have the following rules:

$$p(\phi^*_A) = p(\phi^A) + 1 \pmod{2} \quad gh(\phi^*_A) = -gh(\phi^A) - 1$$

→ One has

	A_μ^a	ϕ	ξ	ψ	C^a	$\bar{C}^a$	B^a
p	0	0	1	1	1	1	0
gh	0	0	0	0	1	-1	0
	A_μ^{*a}	ϕ^*	ξ^*	ψ^*	C_a^*	$\bar{C}_a^*$	B_a^*
p	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
gh	-1	-1	-1	-1	-2	0	-1

→ Next, we introduce a graded analog of the Poisson bracket used in the Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism (BV).

DEF The antibracket $(,)$ is defined for two functionals F and G :

eq. 17.26
Henneaux

$$(F, G) = \int d^n x \left\{ \frac{\delta^R F}{\delta \phi^A(x)} \frac{\delta^L G}{\delta \phi_A^*(x)} - \frac{\delta^R F}{\delta \phi_A^*(x)} \frac{\delta^L G}{\delta \phi^A(x)} \right\}$$

with the right derivative and left derivative such that

$$\int d^n x \frac{\delta^L F}{\delta \phi^B(x)} = \int d^n x \frac{\delta^R F}{\delta \phi^B(x)}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{\delta^R F}{\delta \phi^B(x)} = (-1)^{B(B+F)} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \phi^B(x)} \quad \text{with } B \text{ the parity of } \phi^B(x) \\ F \text{ the parity of } F[\phi]$$

PROP ① Graded antisymmetry:

$$(F, G) = -(-1)^{(F+1)(G+1)} (G, F)$$

② Graded Jacobi identity

$$(F, (G, H)) = ((F, G), H) + (-1)^{(F+1)(G+1)} (G, (F, H))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (F, (G, H)) \cdot (-1)^{(F+1)(G+1)} + \text{cyclic } (F, G, H) = 0$$

③ if $(-1)^F = +1$, then $\frac{1}{2}(F, F) = \int d^n x \frac{\delta^R F}{\delta \phi^A(x)} \frac{\delta^L F}{\delta \phi_A^*(x)} = - \int d^n x \frac{\delta^R F}{\delta \phi_A^*(x)} \frac{\delta^L F}{\delta \phi^A(x)}$

so $(F, F) \neq 0$ but $(F, (F, F)) = 0$ from ②

③ Master action and BRST transformations:

DEF The master action S is given by

$$S[\phi^A, \phi_A^*] = S^{\text{inv}} + \int d^n x \left(-D_\mu C^a A_\mu^a + C^a (T_a)^i_j y^j y^{*i} + \frac{1}{2} f^a_{bc} C^b C^c C_a^* - B^a \bar{C}_a^* \right)$$

We denote the BRST differential as $s = (S, \cdot)$

$\hookrightarrow$ Becchi - Rouet - Stora - Tyutin

$\rightarrow$ For instance, $s\phi^A(x) = (S, \phi^A) = \frac{\delta^R S}{\delta \phi_A^*(x)}$ and $s\phi_A^*(x) = \frac{\delta^R S}{\delta \phi^A(x)} = (-1)^A \frac{\delta^L S}{\delta \phi^A(x)}$

$\rightarrow$ One sees that

$$\int s A_\mu^a = D_\mu C^a \quad s y^i = -C^a (T_a)^i_j y^j \quad s C^a = \frac{1}{2} f^a_{bc} C^b C^c \\ s \bar{C}_a^* = B^a \quad s B^a = 0$$

PROP On the fields A_μ^a, y^i , the BRST differential (or BRST transformation) act like gauge transformations with $\varepsilon^a(x) \mapsto C^a(x)$

→ Explicitly, the covariant derivative in the adjoint rep. of the gauge group is

$$D_\mu v_a = \partial_\mu v_a - f_{abc} A_\mu^b v_c \quad \text{for } v_a \text{ any vector in the Lie alg.}$$

For example: $D_\mu C^a A_a^{*\mu} = \partial_\mu C^a A_a^{*\mu} + f_{bc}^a A_\mu^b C^c A_a^{*\mu}$

→ Let us compute the various eq. of motions $\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi^A} = 0$, with left derivatives:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\delta^L S}{\delta A_\mu^a} = \frac{\delta S^{\text{inv}}}{\delta A_\mu^a} - f_{abc} C^c A_a^{*\mu} & \frac{\delta^L S}{\delta \bar{C}^a} = 0 \\ \frac{\delta^L S}{\delta y^i} = \frac{\delta^L S^{\text{inv}}}{\delta y^i} + (-1)^i C^a (T_a)^j{}_i y^*_j & \frac{\delta^L S}{\delta B^a} = -\bar{C}_a^* \\ \frac{\delta^L S}{\delta C^a} = D_\mu A_a^{*\mu} + (T_a)^i{}_j y^j y^*_i + C_c^* f_{abc} C^b & \end{cases}$$

Prop The ghost number of the master action and of the BRST diff. are
 $gh(S) = 0$ and $gh(s) = 1$

Prop The classical master equation reads
 $(S, S) = 0 \Leftrightarrow sS = 0$

→ The master eq. shows the BRST invariance of the master action.

DEMO One has:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(S, S) &= \int d^4x \left\{ s\phi^A \cdot \frac{\delta^L S}{\delta \phi^A} \right\} = \int d^4x \left\{ \left(-\frac{\delta^R S}{\delta \phi_A^*} \right) \cdot \frac{\delta^L S}{\delta \phi^A} \right\} \\ &= \int d^4x \left\{ -\frac{\delta^R S}{\delta A_\mu^a} \cdot \frac{\delta^L S}{\delta A_\mu^a} - \frac{\delta^R S}{\delta y_i^*} \cdot \frac{\delta^L S}{\delta y_i} - \frac{\delta^R S}{\delta C^a} \cdot \frac{\delta^L S}{\delta C^a} - \frac{\delta^R S}{\delta \bar{C}_a^*} \cdot \frac{\delta^L S}{\delta \bar{C}_a^*} - \frac{\delta^R S}{\delta B^a} \cdot \frac{\delta^L S}{\delta B^a} \right\} \\ &= \int d^4x \left\{ D_\mu C^a \left(\frac{\delta S^{\text{inv}}}{\delta A_\mu^a} - f_{abc} C^b A_a^{*\mu} \right) - C^a (T_a)^i{}_j y^j \left(\frac{\delta S^{\text{inv}}}{\delta y_i} + (-1)^i (T_b)^l{}_i y^*_l \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} f_{abc} C^b C^c \left(\frac{\delta S^{\text{inv}}}{\delta C^a} + (T_a)^i{}_j y^j y^*_i + C_c^* f_{abc} C^b \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Now, by definition, S^{inv} is gauge invariant so that $\delta_A S^{\text{inv}} = 0 = \delta_y S$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1} &= -\partial_\mu C^a \cdot f_{abc} C^b A_a^{*\mu} - f_{abc} A_\mu^d C^c f_{ade} A_\mu^e C^b A_a^{*\mu} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} f_{abc} C^b C^c \cdot \partial_\mu A_a^{*\mu} + \frac{1}{2} f_{abc} C^b C^c f_{ade} A_\mu^d A_a^{*\mu} \\ &= -\partial_\mu \left(\frac{1}{2} f_{abc} C^b C^c A_a^{*\mu} \right) + \frac{1}{2} C^b C^c \left(f_{ade} f_{abc} - f_{abc} f_{ade} + f_{ade} f_{abc} \right) A_\mu^d A_a^{*\mu} \\ &= \text{boundary term} + 0 \end{aligned}$$

We're left with:

$$\frac{1}{2}(S,S) = \int d^4x \left\{ -C^a(T_a)_i^j y_j^i (-1)^k C^b(T_b)_k^l y_l^k - \frac{1}{2} f_{bc}^a C^b C^c \left((T_a)_i^j y_j^i y_i^* + \underline{C^c f_{ac}^b C^a} \right) \right\}$$

$\rightarrow$ C^i contracted with $c, b, c \rightarrow$ anti-commutation in those indices

$$1 = -\frac{1}{2} C^a f_{ac}^b f_{bc}^a C^b C^c C^c = 0 \text{ (Jacobi)}$$

$\rightarrow$ Recall that $(\frac{1}{2}[T_a, T_b])^k_j = +\frac{1}{2} f_{bc}^a (T_c)^k_j$. We're left with

$$\frac{1}{2}(S,S) = \int d^4x \left\{ -C^a(T_a)_i^j y_j^i (-1)^i C^b(T_b)_i^k y_k^* - \frac{1}{2} f_{bc}^a C^b C^c (T_a)_i^j y_j^i y_i^* \right\}$$

$$= \int d^4x \left\{ -C^a C^b (T_b)_i^k (T_a)_i^j y_j^i y_k^* (-1)^i - \frac{1}{2} f_{bc}^a C^b C^c (T_a)_i^j y_j^i y_i^* \right\}$$

$$= \int d^4x \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} C^a C^b [T_a, T_b]^k_j y_j^i y_k^* - \frac{1}{2} f_{bc}^a C^b C^c (T_a)_i^j y_j^i y_i^* \right\} = 0 \quad \square$$

Corr

The BRST operator S is nilpotent:

$$S^2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow S^2 \phi^A = 0 \quad \forall \phi^A$$

DEMO One has:

$$S^2 F = (S, (S, F)) = ((S, S), F) + (-1)^{(P_S+1)(P_S+1)} (S, (S, F)) \text{ and } P_S = 0$$

$$= ((S, S), F) - (S, (S, F))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (S, (S, F)) = \frac{1}{2} ((S, S), F) = 0 \quad \square$$

$\rightarrow$ ex: $S A_\mu^a = D_\mu C^a$ and

$$S^2 A_\mu^a = S D_\mu C^a = \lambda (\partial_\mu C^a + f_{bc}^a A_\mu^b C^c)$$

$$= \partial_\mu S C^a + \lambda f_{bc}^a A_\mu^b C^c$$

$$= \partial_\mu \left(-\frac{1}{2} f_{bc}^a C^b C^c \right) + f_{bc}^a D_\mu C^b C^c - f_{bc}^a A_\mu^b \cdot \frac{1}{2} f_{cd}^a C^d C^c = 0$$

$\rightarrow$ The antifield formalism is useful when dealing with theories that are not Yang-Mills, when the Faddeev-Popov procedure is no longer valid. The antifields are part of the Batalin-Vilkovisky framework.

5.3 Gauge fixation and propagators

② Canonical transfo and generating function of the 2nd kind:

DEF

Consider q a generalized coord. and p its conjugated momentum: $p = \partial \mathcal{L} / \partial \dot{q}$. They satisfy: $\dot{q} = \partial H / \partial p$ and $\dot{p} = -\partial H / \partial q$. Writing the Poisson bracket

$$\{F, G\} = \sum_a \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial q^a} \frac{\partial G}{\partial p_a} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_a} \frac{\partial G}{\partial q^a} \right)$$

one has $\{q^a, p_a\} = \delta^a_b$; $\{q^a, q^b\} = 0$, $\{p_a, p_b\} = 0$.

A canonical transformation $(Q(q, p), P(q, p))$ is one that preserve

$$\{Q(q, p), P(q, p)\} = 1 \text{ and } \{Q, Q\} = 0 = \{P, P\}$$

Equivalently, for $\tilde{G}(Q, P) = G(q(Q, P), p(Q, P))$, one has

$$\{\tilde{G}_1, \tilde{G}_2\}_{Q, P} = \{G_1, G_2\}_{q, p}$$

→ Canonical transfo. can be defined using generating functions F . There are 4 types: $F_1(q, Q)$, $F_2(q, P)$, $F_3(p, Q)$, $F_4(p, P)$.

For a generating function of the 1st kind:

we write $p dq = P dQ + dF_1(q, Q)$ so that

$$p = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial q} \quad \text{and} \quad P = -\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Q}. \quad \text{Here, the independent variables are } (q, Q).$$

Then, we integrate to get q and P :

$$p dq = -dP \cdot Q + d(F_1(q, P) + PQ) \\ = F_2(q, P) = qP + \mathcal{V}(q)$$

The induced canonical transformations are:

$$\underline{p} = p + \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial q} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{q} = Q \quad \text{shift in momentum, same position}$$

→ We can generalize it to QFTs: $(q, p) \mapsto (\phi(x), \pi(x))$, with $\{\phi(x), \pi(y)\} = \delta(x, y)$ and $\{\phi, \phi\} = 0 = \{\pi, \pi\}$

The generating functional becomes $F_2[\phi(x), \Pi(x)]$ with

$$\pi(x) = \frac{\delta F_2}{\delta \phi(x)} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\Pi}(x) = \frac{\delta F_2}{\delta \Pi(x)} \quad // \quad \partial_q F_2 = p \text{ and } \partial_p F_2 = Q$$

Picking $F_2[\phi, \Pi] = \int dx \phi(x) \Pi(x) + \mathcal{V}[\phi]$, one finds

$$\underline{\pi}(x) = \Pi(x) + \frac{\delta \mathcal{V}[\phi]}{\delta \phi(x)} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\Phi}(x) = \phi(x)$$

⊙ Generalization to the antifield formalism:

→ We consider the action $S[\phi^A, \phi_A^*]$, so that $(q, p) \mapsto (\phi^A, \phi_A^*)$.

DEF A anticanonical transformation in this formalism preserves the antibrackets: $(\phi^A, \phi_A^*) = (\tilde{\phi}^A, \tilde{\phi}_A^*)$

→ We consider $F[\phi^A, \tilde{\phi}_A^*] = \int d^n x \left\{ \phi_A(x) \tilde{\phi}_A^*(x) - \tilde{\phi}^A(x) \tilde{\phi}_A^*(x) + \mathcal{F}(\phi) \right\}$

Indeed, $p d\phi = P dQ + dF$ translates to

$$\int d^n x \delta \phi^A \cdot \phi_A^* = \int d^n x \delta \phi^A \cdot \tilde{\phi}_A^* + \delta F$$

$$\text{with } F = \int d^n x (\tilde{\phi}_A^* \phi^A - \tilde{\phi}^A \tilde{\phi}_A^* + \mathcal{F})$$

One finds:

$$\phi^A = \tilde{\phi}^A \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_A^* = \tilde{\phi}_A^* + \frac{\delta^L \mathcal{F}}{\delta \phi^A(x)}$$

DEF In our case, we consider the following gauge-fixing functional

$$\mathcal{F} = \int d^n x \bar{C}^a \left(\partial_\mu A^{b\mu} - \frac{\xi}{2} B^b \right) g_{ab}$$

This defines a class of gauge-fixing condition, with the gauge-fixing parameter ξ . This is referred as R_ξ gauges.

→ The Landau gauge is $\xi = 0$

→ The Feynman gauge is $\xi = 1$

→ The gauge-fixed action $S_\mathcal{F}$ reads:

$$S_\mathcal{F}[\phi, \tilde{\phi}^*] = S\left[\phi, \tilde{\phi}^* + \frac{\delta^L \mathcal{F}}{\delta \phi}\right] = S^{\text{inv}}[\phi] - \int d^n x \delta \phi^A(x) \left(\tilde{\phi}_A^* + \frac{\delta^L \mathcal{F}}{\delta \phi^A} \right)$$

$$= S^{\text{inv}}[\phi] - \int d^n x \delta \phi^A \tilde{\phi}_A^* - \mathcal{F}$$

with

$$-\mathcal{F} = \int d^n x \left\{ \underbrace{-\partial^\mu \bar{C}^a \partial_\mu C^a}_{\text{kinetic term for the ghosts}} - \underbrace{B^a \partial^\mu A_\mu^a}_{\text{gauge fixing for } A_\mu^a} + \underbrace{\frac{\xi}{2} B^a B^a}_{\text{auxiliary fields}} \right\} g_{ab}$$

→ We have 2 options:

1) Integrate out B_a :

$$\rightarrow \text{solve } \frac{\delta S_\mathcal{F}}{\delta B^a} = 0, \text{ and find } B_a = \frac{1}{\xi} (\partial_\mu A^{a\mu} + \bar{C}^a)$$

→ The integral becomes a gaussian.

$$S_{\Psi}|_{B_0} = S^{inv} + \int d^4x \left\{ -\partial^\mu \bar{C}^a \partial_\mu C^b - \frac{1}{25} (\partial_\mu A_\mu^a + \tilde{C}^{*a}) (\partial^\mu A_\mu^b + \tilde{C}^{*b}) \right\} g_{ab} \\ - \int d^4x \left\{ s A_\mu^a \tilde{A}_\mu^{*a} + s C^a \tilde{C}_a^{*a} + s y i \tilde{y}_i^{*a} \right\}$$

→ In both options, the action remains BRST-invariant:

$$\frac{1}{2} (S, S) = 0 = \frac{1}{2} (S_{\Psi}, S_{\Psi})_{\phi, \tilde{\phi}^*} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \Lambda_{\Psi} S_{\Psi} [\phi, \tilde{\phi}^*] = 0$$

Indeed, $\Lambda_{\Psi} = (S_{\Psi}, \cdot)_{\phi, \tilde{\phi}^*}$ and $\Lambda_{\Psi} \phi^A = (S_{\Psi}, \phi^A)_{\phi, \tilde{\phi}^*} = \delta \phi^A$
 while $\Lambda_{\Psi} \tilde{\phi}_A^* = (S_{\Psi}, \tilde{\phi}_A^*) = \frac{\delta^L (S^{inv} - \Lambda_{\Psi} - \int d^4x \Lambda \phi^B \cdot \tilde{\phi}_B^*)}{\delta \phi^A}$

2) Keep B_a in the action:

→ Easier to compute the propagators.

① Gauge field propagator with auxiliary field integrated out: YM

→ Let's compute the propagator in a YM theory using $S_{\Psi}|_B$ (B_a gone).

The quadratic part of the action reads:

$$S_{\Psi}|^{(2)}[\phi, 0] = \int d^4x \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{\mu\nu a} - \frac{1}{25} \partial^\mu A_\mu^a \partial^\nu A_\nu^b - \partial^\mu \bar{C}^a \partial^\mu \bar{C}^b \right\} g_{ab}$$

Choosing the normalization of the generators of $SU(N)$ to be

$\text{Tr} \{ \lambda_a \lambda_b \} = \delta_{ab}$, we have $g_{ab} = \delta_{ab}$.

$$S_{\Psi}|^{(2)} = \int d^4x \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} (\partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a) (\partial^\mu A^{\nu b} - \partial^\nu A^{\mu b}) - \frac{1}{25} (\partial_\mu A^{\mu a})^2 - (\partial_\mu \bar{C}^a)^2 \right\} \delta_{ab}$$

$$= 2 A_\mu^a (\eta^{\mu\nu} \partial^2 - \partial^\mu \partial^\nu) A_\nu^b = \frac{2}{25} A_\mu^a \partial^\mu \partial^\nu A_\nu^b$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \phi_{(B)}^A D_{AB}^{(B)} \phi_{(B)}^B - \bar{\Phi}_{(F)}^K D_{K3}^{(F)} \phi_{(F)}^A \quad \text{with } \begin{cases} (B) \text{ for bosons} \\ (F) \text{ for fermions} \end{cases}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \int d^4y D_{ab}^{\mu\nu}(x, y) A_\mu^a(x) A_\nu^b(y) - \int d^4x \int d^4y \bar{C}^a(x) D_{ab}^{gh}(x, y) C^b(y)$$

where the kernels $D_{ab}^{\mu\nu}(x, y)$ and $D_{ab}^{gh}(x, y)$ are given by

$$D_{ab}^{\mu\nu}(x, y) = \left(\eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x_\alpha} \delta^4(x-y) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{5}\right) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\mu \partial x_\nu} \delta^4(x-y) \right) g_{ab} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-4} \int d^4p \left(\eta^{\mu\nu} (p^2 - i\epsilon) - (1 - 1/5) p_\mu p_\nu \right) e^{ip(x-y)} \cdot g_{ab}$$

The propagator is:

$$(\mathcal{D}^{-1})_{\mu\nu}^{ab} = (2\pi)^4 \int d^4p \left(\eta_{\mu\nu} + (5-1) \frac{p_\mu p_\nu}{p^2} \right) \frac{1}{p^2 - i\epsilon} e^{ip(x-y)} g^{ab}$$

- In the Landau gauge ($\xi=0$), one has a simpler propagator but the kernel is ill-defined.
The simplest propagator is in the Feynman gauge ($\xi=1$), but it requires a IR cut off on top of the UV one.

→ The kernel for the ghosts $-\int d^4x \int d^4y \bar{C}^a(x) D_{ab}^{gh} \bar{C}^b(y)$ is

$$D_{ab}^{gh}(x,y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} \delta^4(x-y) g_{ab} = (2\pi)^{-4} \int d^4p (p^2 - i\epsilon) e^{ip(x-y)} g_{ab}$$

The propagator is then

$$(D_{gh}^{-1})^{ab} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4p \frac{g_{ab}}{p^2 - i\epsilon} e^{ip(x-y)}$$

③ Gauge field propagator keeping auxiliary fields: YM

- Recall we have $S_\Phi = S^{inv} - \int d^4x s\phi^A \cdot \tilde{\phi}_A^* - s\Phi$ with

$$-s\Phi = \int d^4x (-2\mu \bar{C}^a \partial_\mu C^b - B^a \partial^\mu A_\mu^b + S/2 \cdot B^a B^b) g_{ab}$$

- We want to write $S_\Phi^{(2)}$ like $S_\Phi^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{2} \phi_{(B)}^A D_{AB}^{(B)} \phi_{(B)}^B - \bar{\phi}_{(F)}^K D_{KF}^{(F)} \phi_{(F)}^F$

- We have 5 bosonic fields: A_μ, B^b . (since $g_{ab} = \delta_{ab}$, $\{B^a\} = 1$ field)

The ghost fields are decoupled, so we don't discuss them.

The kernel of $S_\Phi^{(2)}$ reads

$$-\frac{1}{2} (A_\mu(x), B(y)) \begin{pmatrix} D_{ab}^{\mu\nu}(x,y) & D_{ab}^\mu(x,y) \\ D_{ab}^\nu(x,y) & D_{ab}(x,y) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A^\nu(y) \\ B(y) \end{pmatrix}$$

- Since $S_{\Phi,B}^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \frac{S}{2} B^a B^b g_{ab}$, one finds $D_{ab}(x,y) = -\frac{S}{2} g_{ab}$

→ We already found:

$$D_{ab}^{\mu\nu}(x,y) = \left(\eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x_\alpha} \delta^4(x-y) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^\nu} \delta^{\alpha\mu}(x-y) \right) g_{ab}$$

- The non diagonal terms comes from $S_{\Phi,BA}^{(2)} = \int d^4x B^a(x) \partial^\mu A_\mu^a(x)$

$$\Leftrightarrow S_{\Phi,BA}^{(2)} = \int d^4x \int d^4y B^a(x) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} \delta^{\mu\alpha}(x-y) \right) A_\alpha^a(y)$$

so that $D_{ab}^\mu(x,y) = -g_{ab} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} \delta^4(x-y)$; $D_{ab}^\nu(x,y) = -g_{ab} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\nu} \delta^4(x-y)$

- The Fourier matrix reads:

$$\tilde{D}(p) = g_{ab} \begin{pmatrix} \eta^{\mu\nu} p^2 - p^\mu p^\nu & -ip^\mu \\ ip^\nu & -S \end{pmatrix}$$

→ The inverse matrix is

$$g^{bc} \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \frac{\eta_{\nu\rho} + (S-1) p_\nu p_\rho / p^2}{p^2} & -i \frac{p_\nu}{p^2} \\ \hline \frac{i p_\rho}{p^2} & 0 \end{array} \right) = \tilde{D}^{-1}$$

⊙ Gauge field propagator keeping auxiliary field: Chern-Simons

→ The ghost and auxiliary part of the action are unchanged. Instead for considering $S^{\text{inv}^{(2)}} = \int d^3x F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{\mu\nu b} g_{ab} |^{(2)}$, we consider

$$S_{CS}^{\text{inv}^{(2)}} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A_\mu^a \partial_\nu A_\rho^b g_{ab} \quad (\text{canonical normalization})$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \int d^3y A_\mu^a(x) D_{ab}^{\mu\nu}(x,y) A_\nu^b(y)$$

$$\text{with } D_{ab}^{\mu\nu}(x,y) = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\rho} \delta^3(x-y) g_{ab} = (2\pi)^{-3} \int d^3p (-i \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} p_\rho) g_{ab} e^{ip(x-y)}$$

→ The kernel of the quadratic part reads

$$\tilde{D}_{ab}(p) = g_{ab} \begin{pmatrix} i \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} p_\rho & -i p^\mu \\ i p^\nu & -S \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and the propagator is given by}$$

$$(\tilde{D}^{-1})^{bc}(p) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \frac{(-1)^S i \epsilon_{\nu\lambda\rho} p^\rho + p_\nu p_\lambda S / p^2}{p^2} & -i \frac{p_\nu}{p^2} \\ \hline \frac{i p_\lambda}{p^2} & 0 \end{array} \right) g^{bc}$$

where the signature of the metric S comes from $\epsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu} \epsilon_{\lambda\kappa\beta} = (-1)^S (\delta_\kappa^\mu \delta_\beta^\nu - \delta_\beta^\mu \delta_\kappa^\nu)$

→ Notice that $(D^{-1})_{ab}$ is always 0: the auxiliary field B^a does not propagate as an independent dof.

→ Now, the Landau gauge will give the simplest propagator.

5.4 Vanishing of Chern-Simons β -function

→ To access the β -function, one needs to control the renormalization of the coupling constant g . To do so, one can use the effective action $\Gamma[\bar{A}]$ with $\bar{A}$ the constant classical field:

$$\Gamma[\bar{A}] = -V_{\text{eff}}[\bar{A}] \int d^3x = -V_{\text{eff}}[\bar{A}] (2\pi)^3 \delta^3(0) \quad // \text{p 42 here}$$

→ For the scalar field with found (p42) that (i.e. $g\phi^4/4!$):

$$\Gamma[\phi] = S[\phi] - \frac{\hbar}{2i} \text{Tr} \left[\ln \left[\delta^4(x-y) + D^{-1}(x,y) \frac{g}{2} \phi^2(y) \right] \right] + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2)$$

When developing $\ln(1+x) = \sum (-1)^n x^n/n$, we found that

$$\Gamma[\phi] = S[\phi] + \frac{\hbar}{2i} \int d^4x \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \ln \left[1 + \frac{g\phi^2/2}{p^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon} \right]$$

→ The 1-loop effective potential of CS is given by

$$V_{\text{eff}}^{(1)}[\bar{A}] = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ \frac{1}{2i} \text{Tr} \left[\ln \left[\tilde{D}_{(a)}^{-1}(p) \tilde{D}_{(a)}^{\bar{A}}(p) \right] \right\} - \frac{1}{i} \text{Tr} \left[\tilde{D}_{gh}^{-1}(p) \tilde{D}_{gh}^{\bar{A}}(p) \right] \right\}$$

where $\tilde{D}^{\bar{A}} \equiv \frac{\delta^2 S[\phi, \phi^* = 0]}{\delta \phi^a \delta \phi^b} \Big|_{A=\bar{A}}$ and the trace is on μ, ν, a, b .

Not We introduce the following notations:

$$(\bar{a}_\mu)^a \equiv \bar{A}_\mu^c \delta^a_b \quad \text{and} \quad p_\mu^a \equiv \delta_b^a p_\mu + i \bar{a}_\mu^a b$$

$$p \bar{a} \equiv p^\mu (\bar{a}_\mu)^a b = p^\mu \bar{A}_\mu^c \delta^a_b$$

$$\text{and } p \cdot \bar{a} \equiv p^\mu (\bar{a}_\mu)^a b, \text{ and } (p \cdot \bar{a}) \delta = p^\mu (\bar{a}_\mu)^a b \delta^\mu_\nu$$

→ We then use these notations, and setting $g=0$, the quadratic part becomes

$$\tilde{D}_{bos}^{\bar{A}} = g^{ab} \begin{pmatrix} i \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} p_\rho & -i p^\mu \\ i p^\nu & -g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} i \epsilon^{\lambda\sigma\rho} p_\rho & -i \delta p^\lambda \\ i \delta p^\sigma & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and}$$

$$(\tilde{D}_{bos}^{-1})^a_b = \delta^a_b \begin{pmatrix} i(-1)^s \epsilon_{\nu\lambda\sigma} p^\sigma / p^2 & -i p_\nu / p^2 \\ i p_\lambda / p^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

so that:

$$\tilde{D}_{bos}^{-1} \tilde{D}_{bos}^{\bar{A}} = \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} \delta \delta + i \frac{p \cdot \bar{a}}{p^2} \delta & -i \frac{p \bar{a}}{p^2} & 0 \\ \hline -i \frac{p_\lambda}{p^2} \epsilon^{\lambda\sigma\rho} \bar{a}_\rho & \delta & \delta \end{array} \right)$$

→ For the ghost, one has $\hat{D}_{gh}^A = \delta_{ab} p^2 + i p \cdot \bar{a}$
and $\tilde{D}_{gh} = \delta^{ab} 1/p^2$

↳ One finds $\hat{D}_{gh}^{-1} \tilde{D}_{gh}^A = \delta + i p \cdot \bar{a}$

Now, let's inject the results in 1-loop effective potential:

$$V_{eff}^{(1)}[\bar{A}] = \frac{1}{2i} \text{tr} \ln \tilde{D}_{bos}^{-1} \tilde{D}_{bos}^A - \frac{1}{i} \text{tr} \ln \tilde{D}_{gh}^{-1} \tilde{D}_{gh}^A$$

$$= \frac{1}{2i} \text{tr} \sum_{n=1} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \frac{i(p \cdot \bar{a})\delta - i p \bar{a}}{p^2} & 0 \\ \hline 0 \leftarrow B & 0 \end{array} \right)^n - \frac{1}{i} \text{tr} \sum_{n=1} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} \left(\frac{i(p \cdot \bar{a})}{p^2} \right)^n$$

Since --- is block-triangular, so $(\text{---})^n$ will be too. We then take the trace of it $\Leftrightarrow$ only consider the diagonal. We then set $B = -i p_\lambda \epsilon^{\lambda \rho \sigma} \bar{a}_\rho / p^2$ to zero.

$$V_{eff}^{(1)}[\bar{A}] = \sum_{n=1} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} (i^{n-1}) p^{-2n} \left(\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left\{ (p \cdot \bar{a} \delta - p \bar{a})^n - (p \cdot \bar{a})^n \right\} \right)$$

Now, notice that $(p \cdot \bar{a} \delta - p \bar{a})^2 = (p \cdot \bar{a})^2 \delta - (p \cdot \bar{a}) p \bar{a} - p \bar{a} (p \cdot \bar{a}) + p \bar{a} \cdot p \bar{a}$

By recursion, $(p \cdot \bar{a} \delta - p \bar{a})^n = (p \cdot \bar{a})^n \delta - p \bar{a} (p \cdot \bar{a})^{n-1}$

We get

$$V_{eff}^{(1)}[\bar{A}] = \sum_{n=1} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} (i^{n-1}) p^{-2n} \left(\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left\{ (p \cdot \bar{a})^n \delta - p \bar{a} (p \cdot \bar{a})^{n-1} \right\} - (p \cdot \bar{a})^n \right)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} (i^{n-1}) p^{-2n} \left(\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_G \left\{ 3(p \cdot \bar{a})^n - (p \cdot \bar{a})^n \right\} - \text{tr}_G \left\{ (p \cdot \bar{a})^n \right\} \right)$$

$= 0 \rightarrow$ The 1-loop effective potential vanishes: there is a cancellation between the gauge bosons and the ghosts.

↳ The coupling constant g is not renormalized perturbatively. This means that the β -function vanishes $\beta(g) = 0$

5.6 Gauge independence and Zinn-Justin eq.

→ When considering a gauge theory, one has a classical gauge invariant action (ex: in YM, $\mathcal{L}$ invariant under

$$\delta_\epsilon A_\mu^a = D_\mu \epsilon^a = \partial_\mu \epsilon^a + f^{abc} A_\mu^b \epsilon^c$$

In order to quantize the theory and perform perturbative expansions, one must fix a gauge. To do so, one introduces a gauge-fixing term in the action and associated ghost fields.

↳ After gauge fixing, the initial local gauge invariance is not manifest anymore.

→ A new global fermionic symmetry, the BRST symmetry, emerges.

$$\delta A_\mu^a = D_\mu C^a \quad \delta C^a = -\frac{1}{2} f^{abc} C^b C^c \quad \delta \bar{C}^a = B^a \quad \delta B^a = 0$$

$$\text{and } \delta y^i = -C^a (T_a)^i_j y^j$$

→ Now, we want to make sure the physical results are independent from the choice of gauge

→ Physical results, such as the S-matrix and the Green function, shouldn't depend on the choice of gauge fixing. Let us focus on Green's functions of product of operators:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{Vac, out} | \mathcal{T} \prod_i \hat{\mathcal{O}}^i(x_i) | \text{Vac, in} \rangle_\Psi &= i^n S_\Psi[\phi, \bar{\phi}^* = 0] \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}\phi \prod_i \hat{\mathcal{O}}^i(x_i) e \end{aligned}$$

where Ψ is the gauge-fixing fermion.

We suppose $s \prod_i \hat{\mathcal{O}}^i(x_i) = 0$, operator BRST invariant $\Rightarrow$ gauge invariant if they don't depend on $C^a, \bar{C}^a, B^a, C^a, \bar{C}^a$ or B^a . since

$$\delta A_\mu^a = D_\mu C^a \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_\epsilon A_\mu^a = D_\mu \epsilon^a$$

→ By definition, $S_\Psi[\phi, \bar{\phi}^* = 0] = S^{\text{inv}} - s \Psi$, so that

$$s_\Psi S_\Psi[\phi, \bar{\phi}^* = 0] = s S_\Psi[\phi, \bar{\phi}^* = 0] = 0$$

→ Under a variation $\Psi \mapsto \Psi + \delta \Psi$, one has

$$\langle \text{Vac, out} | \mathcal{T} \prod_i \hat{\mathcal{O}}^i(x_i) | \text{Vac, in} \rangle_{\Psi + \delta \Psi} = \langle \text{Vac, out} | \mathcal{T} \prod_i \hat{\mathcal{O}}^i(x_i) | \text{Vac, in} \rangle_\Psi$$

Now, recall that $S_\Psi = S^{\text{inv}} - s \Psi$ so that

$$S_{\Psi + \delta \Psi} = S^{\text{inv}} - s \Psi - s \delta \Psi = S_\Psi - s \delta \Psi$$

We use that $e^{x+\epsilon} = e^x + \epsilon e^x$

We get: $-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int d\phi \wedge \delta\phi \prod_i \tilde{\Theta}^i(x_i) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_{\mathbb{F}}[\phi, \tilde{\Phi}^* = 0]}$

Now, $\delta = \int d^n x \wedge \delta\phi^A(x) \frac{\delta^L}{\delta\phi^A(x)}$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{i}{\hbar} \int d^n x \int d\phi \wedge \delta\phi^A(x) \frac{\delta^L}{\delta\phi^A(x)} (\delta\mathbb{F}) \prod_i \tilde{\Theta}^i(x_i) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_{\mathbb{F}}[\phi, \tilde{\Phi}^* = 0]} \\ &= -\frac{i}{\hbar} \int d^n x \int d\phi \frac{\delta^L}{\delta\phi^A(x)} \left(\delta\phi^A(x) \delta\mathbb{F} \prod_i \tilde{\Theta}^i(x_i) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_{\mathbb{F}}[\phi, \tilde{\Phi}^* = 0]} \right) \cdot (-1)^{A(A+1)} \\ &+ \frac{i}{\hbar} \int d^n x \int d\phi \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta\phi^A(x)} (\delta\phi^A(x)) \delta\mathbb{F} \prod_i \tilde{\Theta}^i(x_i) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_{\mathbb{F}}[\phi, \tilde{\Phi}^* = 0]} \right. \\ &\quad \left. (-1)^A \cdot (-1)^{A+1} = \left(-\delta\mathbb{F} \wedge \left(\prod_i \tilde{\Theta}^i(x_i) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_{\mathbb{F}}[\phi, \tilde{\Phi}^* = 0]} \right) \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$\dots = 0$ by translation invariance of the measure $d\phi = d(\phi + \epsilon)$

$\dots = 0$ by BRST invariance of $\prod_i \tilde{\Theta}^i$ and $S_{\mathbb{F}}[\phi, \tilde{\Phi}^* = 0]$

We're left with a term $\propto \frac{\delta}{\delta\phi^A} (\delta\phi^A)$. Now, $\frac{\delta}{\delta\phi^A} \phi^B(y) = \delta_A^B \delta(x-y)$

so that we'll get a formal divergence $\delta(0)$ if non vanishing coefficient.

$$\rightarrow \frac{\delta(s A_\mu^a(x))}{\delta A_\mu^a(x)} = \delta(0) \cdot f_a^{ac} C^c = 0 \quad (s A_\mu^a = D_\mu C^a = \partial_\mu C^a + f_a^{bc} A_\mu^b C^c)$$

$$\frac{\delta(s C^a(x))}{\delta C^a(x)} = -\delta(0) f_a^{bc} C^b C^c = 0 \quad (s C^a = -\frac{1}{2} f_a^{bc} C^b C^c)$$

$$\frac{\delta(s \bar{C}^a(x))}{\delta \bar{C}^a(x)} = 0; \quad \frac{\delta(s B^a(x))}{\delta B^a(x)} = 0; \quad \frac{\delta(s y^i(x))}{\delta y^i(x)} = -\delta(0) C^a T_a^i = 0$$

$\hookrightarrow$ Then f_a^{ab} vanishes only for compact group with trivial representation.

Prop We showed that

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta\mathbb{F}} \left(\langle \text{Vac, out} | \prod_i \tilde{\Theta}^i(x_i) | \text{Vac, in} \rangle \right) = 0$$

$\rightarrow$ Physical results (including computation of the β -function) don't depend on the choice of gauge-fixing.

② Ward identities associated to BRST invariance:

→ We started with a (local) gauge invariance, that we break (to make perturbative computation) through gauge-fixing. We then find BRST invariance: $\delta(S^{\text{inv}} - S\Phi) = 0$

We replace a gauge parameter ϵ^a by a field from the theory C^a .
→ BRST symmetry is fermionic and global.

→ Now, for each global symmetry, there is a conserved current.
(classical: Noether theorem; quantum: Ward id).
Let us find the Ward id. for the BRST symmetry.

→ Consider the change of variable $\phi^A \mapsto \phi^A + \epsilon \delta \phi^A$ in the path integral $Z[J, \bar{\phi}^*] = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \left(S_\Phi[\phi, \bar{\phi}^*] + \int d^4x J_A \phi^A \right) \right\}$

$$\text{where } S_\Phi[\phi, \bar{\phi}^*] = S^{\text{inv}}[\phi] - S\Phi - \int d^4x \delta \phi^A(x) \bar{\phi}_A^*(x)$$

$$\text{We had } 0 = \frac{1}{2} (S_\Phi, S_\Phi)_{\phi, \bar{\phi}^*} = - \int d^4x \frac{\delta^R S_\Phi}{\delta \bar{\phi}_A^*(x)} \frac{\delta^L S_\Phi}{\delta \phi^A(x)} = \int d^4x \delta \phi^A \frac{\delta^L S_\Phi}{\delta \phi^A(x)}$$

$\Leftrightarrow \delta S_\Phi = 0$ the BRST invariance. Applying the change of variable in Z would give the Ward identity. But let's do it another way.

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow \text{Notice that } \int \mathcal{D}\phi \int d^4x \frac{\delta^L}{\delta \phi^A(x)} \left(\delta \phi^A(x) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} (S_\Phi + J\phi)} \right) &= 0 \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}\phi \int d^4x (-1)^A J_A(x) \delta \phi^A(x) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} (S_\Phi + J\phi)} \parallel 1/Z[J, \bar{\phi}^*] \end{aligned}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \int d^4x (-1)^A J_A(x) \langle \delta \phi^A \rangle^{J, \bar{\phi}^*} = 0$$

DEF

The Slavnov-Taylor identity is

$$\int d^4x (-1)^A J_A(x) \langle \delta \phi^A(x) \rangle^{J, \bar{\phi}^*} = 0$$

→ The Slavnov-Taylor id. ensure that physical quantities are gauge independent, and constraint counterterms in the renormalization process.

Legendre transform and Zinn-Justin equation

→ As in chapter 3, we write the generating functional of connected Green's function as

$$W[J, \phi^*] = \frac{\hbar}{i} \ln \frac{Z[J, \phi^*]}{Z[0, 0]}$$

$$\text{we have } \langle \phi^A \rangle_{J, \phi^*} = - \frac{\delta^R W}{\delta \phi_A^*(x)} \quad \text{and} \quad - \int d^d x (-1)^A J_A(x) \frac{\delta^R W}{\delta \phi_A^*(x)} = 0$$

→ As before, we introduce the classical field

$$\phi_{J, \phi^*}^A(x) \equiv \frac{\delta^L W[J, \phi^*]}{\delta J_A(x)}$$

Inverting the relation gives $J_A(x) = J_A^{\phi, \phi^*}(x)$

→ The effective action is $\Gamma[\phi, \phi^*] \equiv (W[J, \phi^*] - J\phi) \Big|_{J=J^{\phi, \phi^*}}$

Now, notice that

$$\frac{\delta^R \Gamma}{\delta \phi_A^*(x)} = \frac{\delta^R W}{\delta \phi_A^*(x)} + \int d^d y \frac{\delta^R W}{\delta J_B(y)} \frac{\delta^R J_B^{\phi, \phi^*}(y)}{\delta \phi_A^*(x)} - \int d^d y \frac{\delta^R J_B^{\phi, \phi^*}(y)}{\delta \phi_A^*(x)} \phi^B(y) (-1)^{(A+1)B} = \frac{\delta^R W}{\delta \phi_A^*(x)}$$

$\phi^B(y) \cdot (-1)^B$

$$\text{and } \frac{\delta^R \Gamma[\phi, \phi^*]}{\delta \phi^A(x)} = - J_A^{\phi, \phi^*}(x)$$

$$\rightarrow \text{Now, } \int d^d x (-1)^A J_A^{\phi, \phi^*}(x) (-1) \frac{\delta^R W}{\delta \phi_A^*(x)} = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \int d^d x (-1)^A \frac{\delta^R \Gamma}{\delta \phi^A(x)} \frac{\delta^R W}{\delta \phi_A^*(x)} = \int d^d x (-1)^{A+(A+1)} \frac{\delta^R \Gamma}{\delta \phi^A(x)} \frac{\delta^L W}{\delta \phi_A^*(x)} = 0$$

PROP The Zinn-Justin equation reads

$$\frac{1}{2} (\Gamma, \Gamma)_{\phi, \phi^*} = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2} (S_{\Xi}, S_{\Xi})_{\phi, \phi^*} = 0$$

→ Writing the 1-loop effective action $\Gamma = S_{\Xi}(\phi, \phi^*) + \hbar \Gamma^{(1)} + \dots$, one has $(S_{\Xi} + \hbar \Gamma^{(1)}, S_{\Xi} + \hbar \Gamma^{(1)}) = 0 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2)$

$$\Rightarrow (S_{\Xi}, \Gamma^{(1)}) + (S_{\Xi} + \Gamma^{(1)}) = 0$$

$\Leftrightarrow (S_{\Xi}, \Gamma^{(1)}) = 0$. Writing $\Gamma^{(1)} = \Gamma_{\infty}^{(1)} + \Gamma_{\text{finite}}^{(1)}$, one has

$$(S_{\Xi}, \Gamma_{\text{div}}^{(1)}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (S_{\Xi}, \Gamma_{\text{finite}}^{(1)}) = 0$$

PROP $S_{\Xi} \Gamma_{\infty}^{(1)} = 0 = S_{\Xi} \Gamma_{\text{finite}}^{(1)}$